## **Equality Impact Assessment** Ref. Number

1462

**Start date** 24/01/2023

#### Part A

## **Initial Impact Assessment**

**Proposal name** 

**Grass Verges** 

### Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

There is around 2.8 million m2 of grass verges which form part of the public highway, maintained by Amey on behalf of the Council.

All aspects of Highways Maintenance (from surfacing of footways and carriageways, litter and fly tipping removal, street lighting and street signs, gritting and environmental maintenance such as tree care and planting, flower beds and shrubs and grass cutting) for highways maintainable at the public expense is undertaken by Amey on behalf of the Council as part of a PFI contract to 2037. Across the city, residents and communities aspire to different uses and methodologies for verges and to maintenance. On some roads, parking is at a premium as Sheffield's housing stock and verges were constructed when most people didn't own a car. Some communities are keen to invest in new street trees to provide shading and new ecology whilst others value wildflowers or the traditional look of regular mowing.

The report proposes approval of a range of options available for managing verges across the city: Grass Verge and Community Funded Street Tree Planting Policies.

The options allow the flexibility to use different approaches across neighbourhoods depending on local needs and priorities of the Council's customers.

#### Proposal type

Budget

non-Budget

If Budget, entered on Q Tier? ○ Yes

Q Tier reference

#### Year of proposal (s)

○ 21/22 ○ 22/23 ● 23/24 ○ 24/25 ○ other

### **Decision Type**

- Committee (select below)
- Adult Health and Social Care Policy Committee
- O Communities, Parks and Leisure Policy Committee
- O Economic Development and Skills Policy Committee
- O Education, Children and Families Policy Committee
- Housing Policy Committee
- Strategy and Resources Policy Committee
- O Transport, Regeneration and Climate Policy Committee
- Waste and Street Scene Policy Committee
- O Regulatory Committees (e.g. Licensing Committee)
- Other Policy Committee or sub-Committee
- Local Area Committees

Page 521

○ Leader			
Executive Director			
Officer Decision			
O Council (e.g. E	Budget and Hou	sing Revenue Account)	
Lead Committee N	lember	Douglas Johnson	
Lead Director for	Proposal	Richard Eyre	
Person filling in th	nis EIA form	David Wain	
Equality Lead Offi	cer	Louise Nunn	
Lead Equality Obj	ective		
<ul><li>Understanding Communities</li></ul>	O Workforce Diversity	<ul> <li>Leading the city in celebrating &amp; and improve life promoting inclusion</li> </ul>	
Portfolio, Service and Team  Lead Portfolio Operational Services  Is this Cross-Portfolio?  O Yes • No			
Is the EIA joint with another organisation (eg NHS)?  ○ Yes    No Please specify			
Consultation	1		
Is consultation O Yes	required? ● No		
Consultation proc		please state why in September/October 2022 and 1,891 responses were in the report.	
Are Staff who n  ● Yes	nay be affected No	by these proposals aware of them?	
Are Customers  ● Yes	who may be aff No	ected by these proposals aware of them?	
If you have said	l no to either pl	lease say why	
	F	Page 522	

## **Initial Impact**

Under the <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

For a range of people who share protected characteristics, more information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge Profiles</u>.

### **Identify Impacts**

### Identify which characteristic the proposal has an impact on tick all that apply

○ Health	Transgender
● Age	• Carers
<ul><li>Disability</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Voluntary/Community &amp; Faith Sectors</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Pregnancy/Maternity</li></ul>	<ul><li>Partners</li></ul>
● Race	Cohesion
<ul><li>Religion/Belief</li></ul>	<ul><li>Poverty &amp; Financial Inclusion</li></ul>
● Sex	Armed Forces
<ul><li>Sexual Orientation</li></ul>	Other

<b>Cumulative Imp</b>	act
Does the proposal have	e a cumulative impact?
• Yes O No	
Year on Year	Across a Community of Identity/Interest
<ul> <li>Geographical Area</li> </ul>	O Other
If yes, details of impact	
This project/process will	be across the City
Does the proposal hav	e a geographical impact across Sheffield?
● Yes ○ No	
If Voc. dotails of goograp	hical impact across Shoffield
This will be the same ac	hical impact across Sheffield ross the City
This will be the same de	1000 the city
Local Area Committee  O All O Specific	Area(s) impacted
It Specific, name of Local	Committee Area(s) impacted

#### **Initial Impact Overview**

Based on the information about the proposal what will the overall equality impact?

In providing a range of options and a flexible approach to managing verges across the city, the policy should support fostering good relations. The clear explanation of options and approach to handling complaints should help mitigate conflict in local neighbourhoods.

Ultimately, as the Highway Authority our prevailing duty is to provide a safe, accessible highway for all users.

**Is a Full impact Assessment required at this stage?** ● Yes

O No

If the impact is more than minor, in that it will impact on a particular protected characteristic you must complete a full impact assessment below.

## **Initial Impact Sign Off**

EIAs must be agreed and signed off by an Equality lead Officer. Has this been signed off?

● Yes ○ No

Date agreed

31/01/2023

Name of EIA lead officer

Louise Nunn

## Part B

## **Full Impact Assessment**

Health
Does the Proposal have a significant impact on health and well-being (including effects on the wider determinants of health)?
■ Yes ○ No if Yes, complete section below
Staff Customers  O Yes O No O Yes O No
Details of impact
Verges across the city range from small, narrow strips of land to more substantial spaces which can accommodate recreational activities. Verges also form green corridors to bigger green spaces such as parks and also the rural environment. The policies proposed include measure to protect verges, options for localised wild flower planting and facilitating additional street tree planting. The health benefits of green spaces are well documented across academic and government research. Green spaces encourage exercise with derived benefits ranging from the physical gains of reduced obesity, increased mobility to mental health and wellbeing gains.  The proposals include community funding option for additional street trees. Street tree cover provides shade, reducing the intensity of the sun during hot weather. In addition, they help lower air pollution levels. These will be localised positive impacts for those with breathing impairments.  The report also recognises available parking may be a local pressure and verges may get used for this.  Whilst this reduces the green benefit of verges, in providing clarity of how we will manage such use of verges, it should help alleviate customer's stress and frustration which can have a negative impact to health.
Comprehensive Health Impact Assessment being completed
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Please attach health impact assessment as a supporting document below.
Public Health Leads has signed off the health impact(s) of this EIA
○ Yes ○ No
Name of Health Lead Officer

Age	
Impact on Staff	P <b>ൂന്ള</b> a5്2ന Customers

Details of impact  Key issue arising from the policy proposals is any perception of impact to accessibility and this having a negative impact to those with low mobility. There an numerous sources of evidence that mobility is the most frequently reported impairment older people. Therefore, the proposals may negatively impact older people. The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge. Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases the only alternative may be the road.				
Key issue arising from the policy proposals is any perception of impact to accessibility and this having a negative impact to those with low mobility. There as numerous sources of evidence that mobility is the most frequently reported impairment older people. Therefore, the proposals may negatively impact older people. The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge. Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the	O Yes	O No	• Yes	○ No
Key issue arising from the policy proposals is any perception of impact to accessibility and this having a negative impact to those with low mobility. There are numerous sources of evidence that mobility is the most frequently reported impairment older people. Therefore, the proposals may negatively impact older people. The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge. Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the				
accessibility and this having a negative impact to those with low mobility. There as numerous sources of evidence that mobility is the most frequently reported impairment older people. Therefore, the proposals may negatively impact older people. The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge. Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the	Details of	f impact		
	accessibi numerou impairme people. wildflowe Mostly, tl	lity and this having is sources of eviderent older people. The policy proposa ers and increased whe mitigation to the	g a negative impact to nce that mobility is th Therefore, the proposi Ils may encourage are regetation discouragin is access issue is ther	those with low mobility. There are most frequently reported als may negatively impact older eas of longer grass length, ag access of/ over the verge. The will be a footpath alongside the

Disability	
Impact on Staff	Impact on Customers
○ Yes ○ No	● Yes ○ No
Details of impact	
As with age, impact of in with reduced mobility. The proposal for localise parking may also impact there should be access very for the stones or alternative council will remove a safe highway use.	increased/ longer vegetation may negatively impact custored placing of stones or alternative as a physical preventate people with visual impairment. Again the key mitigation via footpath and no need for use of the verge. The propositive to be on the verge and not in to the footpath or road any stones etc that are identified as a hazard and preventies of sight are maintained at junctions, cutting bate.

Pregnan	cy/Matern	ity	
Impact o	n Staff	Impact on Customers	
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	○ No	● Yes ○ No	
		Page 527	

increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge.  Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases, the only alternative may be the road.
Dage.
Race
Impact on Staff       Impact on Customers         ○ Yes       ○ No         ◆ Yes       ○ No
Details of impact
The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge.  Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases, the only alternative may be the road.
Religion/Belief Impact on Staff Impact on Customers
○ Yes ○ No • Yes ○ No
Details of impact  The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge.  Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases, the only alternative may be the road.
Sex  Impact on Staff
Details of impact
The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge.  Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases, the only <b>Regerify 28</b> y be the road.

The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and

**Details of impact** 

Sexual Orientation	
	Towns at an Overlands
Impact on Staff ○ Yes ○ No	Impact on Customers  ● Yes ○ No
increased vegetation dis Mostly, the mitigation to	by encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and scouraging access of/ over the verge. It is access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the the only alternative may be the road.
Gender Reassignme	ent (Transgender)
Impact on Staff ○ Yes ○ No	Impact on Customers  ● Yes ○ No
increased vegetation dis Mostly, the mitigation to	by encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and scouraging access of/ over the verge. In this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the the only alternative may be the road.
Carers	
Impact on Staff O Yes O No	Impact on Customers  ● Yes ○ No
increased vegetation dis Mostly, the mitigation to	by encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and scouraging access of/ over the verge. In this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the the only alternative may be the road.

○ Yes ○ No ● Yes ○ No
Details of impact  The proposals include a scheme for community funding for additional street trees.  The scheme is a positive impact, providing clear guidance on where street trees can be planted. The costs are provided so groups know the target for donations. It open to individuals and or community groups and all faith sectors to donate funds and identify a spot for a new street tree to be planted. The local benefits of street tree planting are identified above in the health section.
The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge.  Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases, the only alternative may be the road.
Impact on Staff  ○ Yes  ○ No  Petails of impact  The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge.  Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases, the only alternative may be the road.
Cohesion
Staff       Customers         ○ Yes       ○ No       ● Yes       ○ No
The policy proposals may encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and increased vegetation discouraging access of/ over the verge.  Mostly, the mitigation to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the verge. In some cases, the only alternative may be the road.

Voluntary, Community & Faith sectors

Poverty 8	& Financia	l Inclusion		
Impact or	n <b>Staff</b> ○ No	Impact or O	Customers O No	
Please ex	plain the im	pact		

Arm	ed Forces		
-	eact on Staff es O No	Impact or   ● Yes	n Customers O No
The inc	reased vegetation di stly, the mitigation t	iscouraging acc to this access is	areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and cess of/ over the verge. ssue is there will be a footpath alongside the native may be the road.

Other	
Please specify	
Impact on Staff  O Yes  O No	Impact on Customers  ● Yes ○ No
O les O NO	• res • no
<b>Details of impact</b>	
increased vegetation di Mostly, the mitigation t	ay encourage areas of longer grass length, wildflowers and scouraging access of/ over the verge. to this access issue is there will be a footpath alongside the the only alternative may be the road.

# **Action Plan and Supporting Evidence**

hat evide	nce have you used to support the info in the EIA?
communities	ence review of the benefits of parks and green spaces for people and https://www.tnlcommunityfund.org.uk/media/insights/documents/Space-to-A-rapid-evidence-review.pdf?mtime=20191018095850&focal=none
Benefits of S	treet Trees: https://www.streettreesforliving.org/benefits-of-street-trees
UK disability	statistics: Prevalence and life experiences: archbriefings.files.parliament.uk/documents/CBP-9602/CBP-9602.pdf
etail any	shanges made as a result of the ETA
ctan anv	
	changes made as a result of the EIA
,	changes made as a result of the ETA
	changes made as a result of the EIA
	changes made as a result of the ETA
	changes made as a result of the ETA
	Changes made as a result of the ETA
ollowing n	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected
ollowing n	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected
ollowing n	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected
ollowing n	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected tic. O Yes O No
following m	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected tic. O Yes O No
f yes, the E	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected tic. O Yes O No
f yes, the E	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected tic. O Yes O No
Following meharacterist f yes, the E	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected tic. O Yes O No  EIA will need corporate escalation? Please explain below  be agreed and signed off by an Equality lead Officer. Has this
ollowing management of the second of the sec	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected tic. O Yes O No  EIA will need corporate escalation? Please explain below  be agreed and signed off by an Equality lead Officer. Has this
Following metharacterists of yes, the E	nitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected tic. O Yes O No  EIA will need corporate escalation? Please explain below  be agreed and signed off by an Equality lead Officer. Has this ed off?